

IPW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Charles Edward BAYHA et al.

Serial No. 10/767,274

Filed: January 30, 2004

For: LOW COST PROCESS FOR
MANUFACTURE OF HURRICANE
RESISTANT, GLASS, IMPACT
RESISTANT UNITS

Art Unit: 1772

Examiner: Donald J. Loney

Atty. Docket No. 41212-200409

Customer No.

26694

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313

Sir:

This paper is filed in response to the Official Letter setting forth a restriction requirement, and dated October 13, 2005.

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully solicited.

In the Official letter the Examiner outlined two groups of claims and alleged that each was directed to patentably distinct subject matter from the other.

Specifically, the Examiner defined the two groups of claims as follows:

I. Claims 3-10, 23 and 24—directed to a product

or

II. Claims 11-22---drawn to a process.

The reason(s) for insisting on restriction was(were) divergent field(s) of search.


In order to be responsive, applicants elect claims 11-22 for prosecution.

No fees are believed due. However, if the U.S. Patent Office believes fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge *the requisite* fees and credit any over payments to Deposit Account No. 22-0261, Deposit Account Name: Venable LLP

Date:

Nov 8 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Marina V. Schneller

Reg. No. 26,032

Venable, LLP

P.O. Box 34385

Washington, DC 20043-9998

Telephone: (202) 344-4000

Facsimile: (202) 344-8300

695602